

Table 1: Basin Planning Issues Evaluated during the 2004 Triennial Review

This table contains all of the basin planning issues that were considered during the 2004 Triennial Review. The issues are organized according to five Basin Plan categories: beneficial uses, water quality objectives, TMDLs, other implementation plans and policies, and administrative changes. The issues included regional efforts, statewide efforts to which Regional Board staff are contributing, and ongoing projects in which Regional Board staff have already invested time and resources. Basin Planning issues were prioritized as ongoing, high, medium or low priorities. (High priorities are indicated by a value of 1, medium with a value of 2, and low with a value of 3. Note that ongoing projects are in effect considered “high” priorities, in that staff have committed to completing these projects within this Triennial Review cycle.)

This table is sorted first by topic (e.g. beneficial uses), then by priority (e.g. Ongoing, High, Medium and Low), then by rank (for the highest priorities), and finally by the weighted tally based on the prioritization considerations discussed in section IV of the staff report.

Table 2: Ranked High Priority Basin Planning Issues

This table includes all basin planning issues identified as a high priority by Regional Board staff. Staff based its decision on the prioritization considerations described in section IV of the staff report. Specifically, if an issue would achieve the Regional Board's mission or fulfill other legal requirements, it was identified as a high priority. Regional Board staff have further ranked the high priority issues relative to each other (from 1 to 24).

Table 3: Basin Planning Issues Proposed to be Addressed
during 2005-2007

This table presents those basin planning issues that staff recommends the Board consider during the period 2005-2007 given available staff resources. These include all those issues identified as “ongoing” and the highest ranked issues in the “high priority” category. The ongoing issues are included, since staff have already invested significant resources in these issues and therefore are committed to their completion. In addition to the ongoing projects, the highest ranked issues are included that can be accomplished with existing Planning staff resources.